A NEW PROOF OF THE EXPLICIT NOETHER-LEFSCHETZ THEOREM

MARK L. GREEN

We will work over C. Let

 $Y = \{ algebraic surfaces of degree d in <math>\mathbf{P}^3 \}$

 $\Sigma_d = \{ S \in Y | S \text{ smooth and } Pic(S) \text{ is not generated } \}$

by the hyperplane bundle \}.

In [2], we proved the Explicit Noether-Lefschetz Theorem:

Theorem 1. For $d \ge 3$, every component of Σ_d has codimension $\ge d-3$ in Y.

As remarked in [1], where this result was conjectured, the surfaces containing a line give a component of Σ_d codimension exactly d = 3.

In this paper, we give a substantially easier proof of this result. As was shown in [2], the Explicit Noether-Lefschetz Theorem is a consequence of the following vanishing theorem for Koszul cohomology on projective space:

Theorem 2. Let

$$W \subseteq H^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(d))$$

be a base-point free linear system. Then the Koszul complex

$$\Lambda^{p+1}W \otimes H^{0}(\mathbf{P}^{r}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{r}}(k-d)) \to \Lambda^{p}W \otimes H^{0}(\mathbf{P}^{r}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{r}}(k))$$
$$\to \Lambda^{p-1}W \otimes H^{0}(\mathbf{P}^{r}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{r}}(k+d))$$

is exact at the middle term provided that

$$k \geqslant p + d + \operatorname{codim} W$$
.

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider an increasing sequence of linear subspaces

$$W = W_c \subset W_{c-1} \subset \cdots \subset W_0 = H^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(d))$$

chosen so that

$$\dim(W_i/W_{i-1}) = 1$$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, c.$

Received December 22, 1986. Research partially supported by N.S.F. Grant DMS85-02350.

Define vector bundles M_i on \mathbf{P}^r by the sequences

$$0 \to M_i \to W_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}'} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}'}(d) \to 0.$$

In particular, we have commutative diagrams

Lemma 1. $H^q(\mathbf{P}^r, \Lambda^p M_0(n)) = 0$ if $q \ge 1$ and $n + q \ge p$. *Proof.* From the exact sequence

$$0 \to M_0 \to H^0(\mathbf{P}', \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}'}(d)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}'} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}'}(d) \to 0$$

we note that

$$H^q(\mathbf{P}^r, M_0(n)) = 0$$
 if $q \ge 1$ and $n + q \ge 1$.

Thus (see [4]) M_0 is 1-regular and hence has a free resolution of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

We note that in general if vector bundles \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G} have free resolutions

$$\cdots F_1 \to F_0 \to \mathscr{F} \to 0,$$

$$\cdots \to G_1 \to G_0 \to \mathscr{G} \to 0,$$

then we obtain a free resolution of the form

$$\cdots \to (F_2 \otimes G_0) \oplus (F_1 \otimes G_1) \oplus (F_0 \otimes G_2) \to (F_1 \otimes G_0) \oplus (F_0 \otimes G_1)$$

$$\to F_0 \otimes G_0 \to \mathscr{F} \otimes \mathscr{G} \to 0.$$

Thus inductively $M_0^{\otimes p}$ has a resolution of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}(-p-1) \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}(-p) \rightarrow M_0^{\otimes p} \rightarrow 0$$

and thus

$$M_0^{\otimes p}$$
 is p-regular

and hence

$$H^q(\mathbf{P}^r, M_0^{\otimes p}(n)) = 0$$
 if $q \ge 1$ and $n + q \ge p$.

Since $\Lambda^p M_0(n)$ is a direct summand of $M_0^{\otimes p}(n)$, the lemma follows.

Lemma 2. For all $i = 0, \dots, c$, $H^q(\mathbf{P}^r, \Lambda^p M_i(n)) = 0$ if $q \ge 1$ and $n + q \ge p + i$.

Proof. As seen above, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to M_i \to M_{i-1} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}'} \to 0$$

and thus the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda^{p+1} M_i \to \Lambda^{p+1} M_{i-1} \to \Lambda^p M_i \to 0.$$

Tensoring by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}'}(n)$ and taking the long exact sequence on cohomology, we have

$$\to H^q(\mathbf{P}^r, \Lambda^{p+1}M_{i-1}(n)) \to H^q(\mathbf{P}^r, \Lambda^pM_i(n)) \to H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \Lambda^{p+1}M_i(n)) \to .$$

Assume $q \ge 1$ and $n + q \ge p + i$. By ascending induction on i, since

$$n+q \geqslant (p+1)+(i-1) \leftrightarrow n+q \geqslant p+i$$

the term on the left may be assumed to vanish. Since

$$n + (q + 1) \geqslant (p + 1) + i \leftrightarrow n + q \geqslant p + i$$

we may do a descending induction on p, the case $p > \text{rank } M_i$ being automatic. Thus we may assume the term on the right vanishes, and this proves the lemma.

The Koszul sequence

$$(*) \qquad \cdots \to \Lambda^{p+1}W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}'}(k-d) \to \Lambda^{p}W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}'}(k)$$
$$\to \Lambda^{p-1}W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{p}'}(k+d) \to \cdots$$

breaks up into short exact sequences

$$0 \to \Lambda^{p-1} M_c(k+d) \to \Lambda^{p-1} W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}'}(k+d) \to \Lambda^{p-2} M_c(k+2d) \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \Lambda^p M_c(k) \to \Lambda^p W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^c}(k) \to \Lambda^{p-1} M_c(k+d) \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \Lambda^{p+1} M_c(k-d) \to \Lambda^{p+1} W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}'}(k-d) \to \Lambda^q M_c(k) \to 0.$$

The cohomology at the middle term of (*) is isomorphic to

$$H^1(\Lambda^{p+1}M_c(k-d)).$$

By the lemma, this is zero if

$$k - d + 1 \geqslant p + 1 + c$$

or equivalently

$$k \ge p + d + \operatorname{codim} W$$

which proves Theorem 2.

We will now sketch how Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1. Let

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_d = \{(S, L) | S \in \Sigma_d, L \in Pic(S)\}.$$

The first prolongation bundle $P_1(L)$ on S sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^1_{s} \otimes L \to P_1(L) \to L \to 0$$

which dualized and twisted by L looks like

$$(**) 0 \to \mathcal{O}_{S} \to P_{1}(L)^{\vee} \otimes L \to \Theta_{S} \to 0.$$

By standard identifications, the projection

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_d \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma_d$$

$$(S,L) \to S$$

gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$T_{(S,L)}(\tilde{\Sigma}_d) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} T_S(\Sigma_d)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$H^1(S, P_1(L)^{\vee} \otimes L) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^1(S, \Theta_S)$$

where α fits into the long exact sequence of (**):

$$H^1(S, P_1(L)^{\vee} \otimes L) \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} H^1(S, \Theta_S) \stackrel{\beta}{\to} H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$$

and β is cup product with $c_1(L)$, the first Chern class of L. Let $Z_{(S,L)}$ be the union of all irreducible components of $\tilde{\Sigma}_d$ containing (S,L). The Zariski tangent space $T \subseteq H^1(S,\Theta_S)$ of $\pi(Z_{(S,L)})$ at S is ker β .

Now assume $S \in \Sigma_d$. Without loss of generality, we may choose $L \in Pic(S)$ so that $c_1(L) \in H^1_{prim}(S, \Omega^1_S)$. We thus have

$$H^1(S, \Theta_S) \otimes H^1_{\text{prim}}(S, \Omega^1_S) \xrightarrow{\text{cup product}} H^2(S, \mathscr{O}_S),$$

$$T \otimes c_1(L) \mapsto 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$H^1(S, \Theta_S) \otimes H^0(S, K_S) \xrightarrow{\text{cup product}} H^1_{\text{prim}}(S, \Omega_S^1),$$

$$T \otimes H^0(S, K_S) \mapsto c_1(L)^{\perp}$$
.

Using standard identifications, this is the multiplication map

$$\frac{H^0\big(\mathbf{P}^3,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(d)\big)}{J_d}\otimes H^0\big(\mathbf{P}^3,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(d-4)\big)\to \frac{H^0\big(\mathbf{P}^3,\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(2d-4)\big)}{J_{2d-4}},$$

where J_k denotes the Jacobi ideal of S in degree k. Let \tilde{T} be the preimage of T in $H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(d))$. Then the multiplication map

$$\tilde{T} \otimes H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(d-4)) \to H^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(2d-4))$$

is not surjective. The nonsingularity of S implies that J_d is base-point free and hence \tilde{T} is. By Theorem 2, this implies

$$\operatorname{codim} \tilde{T} \ge d - 3$$

and thus

$$\operatorname{codim} T \ge d - 3$$

as desired.

Remark. Since writing [2], I have learned of a paper by Jozefiak, Pragacz, and Weyman [3] in which they work out completely the case c = 0, d = 2 of the Koszul groups discussed in Theorem 2, and in fact their result is stronger in this case.

I am grateful to my colleague Rob Lazarsfeld for suggesting the simple argument for the resolution of M_0 , and to Lawrence Ein for mentioning the relationship between the regularity of a bundle E and that of $\Lambda^p E$.

Bibliography

- J. Carlson, M. Green, P. Griffiths & J. Harris, Infinitesimal variations of Hodge structure. I, Compositio. Math. 50 (1983) 109-205.
- [2] M. Green, Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties, II, J. Differential Geometry 20 (1984) 279-289.
- [3] J. Jozefiak, P. Pragacz & J. Weyman, Resolutions of determinantal varieties and tensor complexes associated with symmetric and antisymmetric matrices, Astérisque 87–88 (1981) 109–189.
- [4] D. Mumford, Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1966.

University of California, Los Angeles